COUNTERPOINT

Efficient orthodontic treatment timing

Anthony D. Viazis, DDS, MS^a Los Angeles, Calif.

The ideal time to treat a malocclusion has been debated many times in the orthodontic literature.¹⁻²² One of the dilemmas facing the orthodontic clinician is whether or not to intervene beforethe eruption of the permanent dentition.^{1.2} It has been well documented that some malocclusions, such as skeletal crossbites (resulting in a functional shift) are best treated as early as possible; others, such as Class II malocclusions,² are best left untreated until a later stage of dental transition to best use growth and avoid patient burnout, unnecessary overtreatment, number of appointments, and overall inefficient therapy.

It is my opinion that one may approach the treatment of malocclusions based on the concept of "Efficient Treatment Timing" (Table I). According to this concept, a malocclusion should be treated as soon as possible when postponement of treatment

^aAssociate Clinical Professor, Department of Orthodontics, U.S.C. School of Dentistry, Los Angeles, Calif.

Am J Orthod Dentofac Orthop 1995;108:560-1.

Copyright © 1995 by the American Association of Orthodontists. 0889-5406/95/\$5.00 + 0 8/1/60853

	Efficient treatment period			
Problem	Deciduous dentition 4-6 years	Early mixed (6-8 yrs.)	Late mixed (8-11 yrs.)	Permanent (growing)
Habit	Discontinuation			
Crossbite with shift		Maxillary expansion (ME)		
Crowding		E-space control expansion		Fixed appliances
Class II			Headgear/springs func- tional	Fixed appliances
Class III maxillary deficiency	Facemask			Fixed appliances
Class III mandibular prog- nathism	Chincap			Fixed appliances
Deep bite		Space maintenance/biteplate		Fixed appliances
Open bite (skeletal)			Headgear/vertical cor- rector/tooth guidance	Fixed appliances
Limited treatment		Any time		
TMD treatment		Conservative approach on de- tection		

Table I. Efficient "treatment-time" table*

*Treatment may continue from one period to the next if necessary. Care should be taken to avoid patient burnout.

would lead to severe functional or esthetic concerns. On the other hand, treatment of certain malocclusions may take place at a later stage as long as any such later treatment would have the same effects with less overall treatment time involved. Thus the therapeutic benefits are maximized with optimal doctor time, continuous patient cooperation, and satisfaction.

As shown in Table I, habit control, functional crossbite correction, and alleviation of possible crowding, especially in deep bite cases, should be initiated as soon as they are detected. A deficient maxilla (Class III) should be protracted (facemask) as soon as the upper permanent first molars erupt and often times, right after the eruption of the upper permanent incisors. True mandibular prognathism is best treated surgically after completion of growth. Mild mandibular prognathism can be effectively addressed in the deciduous dentition with chincap therapy. Open and deep bite tendencies should be addressed by the late mixed dentition stage. Class II malocclusions, especially those requiring distal molar movement, may be best treated by nonextraction with a continuous treatment of $1\frac{1}{2}$ to 2 years that starts in the late mixed dentition, especially on the eruption of the upper first premolars.² Finally, any limited treatment (single tooth crossbite, diastema, spacing) can be addressed individually per patient at any age. Any dysfunction and/or pain to the temporomandibular joint should be addressed as soon as it is detected. Fixed appliance therapy should use the new technology wires, springs, and efficient bracket designs.

In conclusion, the objectives of any treatment before eruption of all permanent teeth are to correct the skeletal discrepancy between the jaws and improve function and facial esthetics by allowing them to develop normally, to create an ideal overbite and overjet relationship, to align the anterior permanent teeth (incisors) and reduce the chance of trauma to these teeth, to improve the width of the dental arches and to reduce the risk for (1) extraction of permanent teeth on normal eruption of the full permanent dentition and (2) for surgery (in severe cases). Unattended orthodontic problems can lead to impairments in speech, chewing, and loss or trauma of teeth. Orthodontics can enhance a person's self-esteem as treatment brings teeth, jaws, and soft tissue into correct position. This is accomplished with a good level of cooperation that a child demonstrates during this period.

A treatment will be successful only when the patient and the guardian are satisfied and happy about the result of therapy. This is always accomplished in an environment of caring that our young patients need.

REFERENCES

- McNamara JA Jr, Brudon WL. Orthodontic and orthopedic treatment in the mixed dentition. Ann Arbor: Needham Press, 1993.
- 2. Gianelly AA. Paper presented at the combined Orthodontic-Pedodontic conference, Jan. 1993.
- Gianelly AA, Bednar J, Dietz VS. Japanese NiTi coil used to move molars distally. AM J ORTHOD DENTOFAC ORTHOP 1991;99:564-6.

- Miura F, Mogi M, Ohura Y, Karibe M. The super-elastic Japanese NiTi alloy wire for use in orthodontics. AM J ORTHOD DENTOFAC ORTHOP 1988;94:89-96.
- Gianelly A. Class II nonextraction treatment using Sentalloy coils. Summarized by Hawley BP, P C S O Bull 1991:50-1.
- Bishara SE, Staley RN. Maxillary expansion: clinical implications. AM J ORTHOD DENTOFAC ORTHOP 1987;91:3-14.
- Osborn WS, Nanda RS, Currier GF. Mandibular arch perimeter changes with lip bumper treatment. AM J ORTHOD DENTOFAC ORTHOP 1991;99:527-32.
- Nevant CT, Buschang PH, Alexander RG, Steffen JM. Lip bumper therapy for gaining arch length. AM J ORTHOD DENTOFAC ORTHOP 1991;100:330-6.
- Cetlin NM, Ten Hoeve A. Nonextraction therapy. J Clin Orthod 1983;17:396-413.
- 10. Ten Hoeve A. Palatal bar and lip bumpers in nonextraction treatment. J Clin Orthod 1985;19:272-91.
- Proffit WR. Contemporary orthodontics. St. Louis: CV Mosby, 1986.
- Woodside DG, Metaxas A, Altuna G. The influence of functional appliance therapy on glenoid fossa remodeling. AM J ORTHOD DENTOFAC ORTHOP 1987;92:181-9.
- Pancherz H. A cephalometric long-term investigation on the nature of Class II relapse after Herbst appliance treatment. AM J ORTHOD DENTOFAC ORTHOP 1991;100:220-33.
- 14. Mitani H. Occlusal and craniofacial growth changes during puberty. AM J ORTHOD 1977;72:76-84.
- Sakamoto T. Effective timing for the application of orthopedic force in the skeletal Class III malocclusion. AM J ORTHOD 1981;80:411-6.
- Mitani H. Prepubertal growth of mandibular prognathism. AM J ORTHOD 1981;80:546-53.
- 17. Graber LW. Chincup therapy for mandibular prognathism. AM J ORTHOD 1977;72:23-41.
- 18. Graber TM. Thumb and finger sucking. AM J ORTHOD 1959;45:259-64.
- 19. Viazis AD. The triple-loop corrector. AM J ORTHOD DENTOFAC ORTHOP 1991;100:91-2.
- Turley PK. Orthopedic correction of Class III malocclusion with palatal expansion and custom protraction headgear. J Clin Orthod 1988;22:314-25.
- Lee PK. Behavior of erupting crowded lower incisors. J Clin Orthod 1980;14:24-33.
- 22. Creekmore TD. Teeth want to be straight. J Clin Orthod 1982;16:745-6.

Reprint requests to: Dr. Anthony D. Viazis 1307 8th Ave., Suite 403 Ft. Worth, TX 76104